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Among early Byzantine physicians, Alexander of Tralles (AD 525–605) attracts the admiration not only of medical historians, but also of modern doctors. His appeal is due to his direct experience in the practice of medicine and in the manifold aspects of providing and modifying treatments for patients, as spelled out in his extant tracts. His clientele ranged from those in total poverty to those who were members of the highest ranks of society.

What survives in Greek of Alexander's writings consists of the Treatise on Fevers (in seven sections), the Letter on Intestinal Worms (the first tract on parasitology worthy of the label), and the Twelve Books, which address various ailments and their treatments. Except in excerpts, no English translations exist of the writings of this physician extraordinaire. There are, however, translations into German by Theodor Puschmann (1878–1879), and into French by F. Brunet (1933–1937) (see box on Translations of Alexander of Tralles).

Alexander practiced in the era of Justinian I (527–565) and his consort the Empress Theodora (527–548) (Figs. 1 and 2). Brunet's bubbling admiration for Alexander of Tralles inspired him to assume that Alexander had to have been personal physician to Justinian and had to have had a role in the important actions of the day. (Brunet envisioned Alexander accompanying Justinian's distinguished general, Belisarius, as physician in the Gothic Wars, for example.) Even though one can document that Alexander must have traveled and collected materia medica throughout Armenia, Thrace, much of the Balkan peninsula, Egypt, Gaul, Spain, North Africa, and Italy, there is no specific evidence to suggest any relationship with the royal family or participation in the wars waged by Justinian to restore the Roman Empire in the West. Brunet is easily forgiven, however, for his overreaching enthusiasm: after all, Alexander of Tralles was the best physician in the Byzantine Empire, so that a presumption of royal connections can well be understood, even if left in the realm of historical fiction.

Translators of Alexander of Tralles

Alexander's writings were well known and respected by later Muslim doctors and pharmacists. The manuscript traditions are chiefly Greek, but also late Latin and Arabic. A complete re-editing of the Greek texts with an excellent German translation and running commentary was published by the talented physician Theodor Puschmann in 1878–1879, and this text remains standard in the analysis of Alexander's medicine, surgery, and especially pharmacology. Puschmann's opus also includes the most complete index to date of the drugs and pharmaceuticals listed and discussed throughout these writings. Of course, one must recall that Puschmann's chemical and pharmacological synopses reflect the best research of the late 19th century, so that the phytochemistry and pharmacognosy in the commentary and index of plants, animal products, and minerals emerge from the finest German investigation of his time, not ours.

F. Brunet, a doctor in the French navy, claimed to have translated Alexander's works into French directly from manuscript sources available at the time (1933–1937) in Paris. Brunet devoted his first volume to a generally undocumented but enthusiastic overview of Byzantine medicine in the age of Justinian. With little acknowledgment of Puschmann's pioneering edition, Brunet's translation rather closely parallels it in organization and manner. But he offers little commentary, no Greek text, and a far shorter index of plants (Brunet 1933–1937, vol. 4:270–83).
ALEXANDER'S EARLY LIFE AND MEDICAL EDUCATION

Brunet at least illustrates the story of Alexander's life and times from some good historical evidence. Most prominent notables in ancient and medieval medical history are known only through their own treatises, but this is not the case for Alexander of Tralles. One finds biographical details about him and his four brothers in the writings of the Byzantine historian Agathias. According to Agathias's history of the mid-6th century AD, Alexander was born in AD 525 in Itana (eastern Asia Minor), the son of a physician named Stephen (Histories 5.6.3–6). Stephen apparently reared his five sons carefully in the manner appropriate to a well-educated citizen of the Byzantine elite. All of them became prominent in their professions: Anthimus was the architect-engineer who redesigned the magnificent Hagia Sophia for Justinian (Fig. 3); Metrodorus rose to eminence as a master grammarian; Olympius became one of the most learned jurists of his day; and Dioscorus was, like his father and brother, a physician.

Education at the elementary level took place in the home. There were no medical schools or training facilities in Justinian's time, and argument among specialists has not settled the question of whether there were such things as bona fide hospitals in the 6th century. Most likely Alexander would have learned medicine from a master-teacher (perhaps his own father). There is likewise no evidence of formal training in anatomy at this period. Surgical texts, exemplified by Hippocrates' Wounds of the Head, Fractures, and Joints, are unusual in Greek-Roman and Byzantine medicine. Alexander therefore probably learned bone setting, suturing of wounds, cauterization, and administration of anesthetics... through what we would term an "apprenticeship" in surgery.

Alexander's citation of some three dozen specific passages from Galen's works, while quoting Hippocrates only through Galen (in about twenty instances).

THE ITINERANT PHYSICIAN

Agathias implies that Justinian knew well the varied talents of at least three of Alexander's brothers. Anthimus became the emperor's chief architect and court engineer; Metrodorus was actually involved in the schooling of the children at the royal court, and Olympius probably was consulted by Justinian in the compilation of the Digest (the codification of Roman jurisprudence that appeared in AD 533) and its supplements known as the Novellae. The fourth brother, Dioscorus, "lived out his life in his native city, where he practiced his profession with remarkable distinction and success" (Agathias 5.6.3 [Frend 1975:141]). He could easily have become a medical consultant to Justinian's court at Constantinople at appropriate times. In contrast, Alexander "took up residence in Rome, whither he had been summoned to occupy a position of great distinction" (ibid.) and so, Brunet's claims to the contrary, need not have been involved in the life of the court.

Alexander clearly had a touch of wanderlust, since the texts indicate he traveled extensively before he settled in Rome. This is, of course, quite in keeping with the ancient tradition of the itinerant physician, who journeyed from settlement to settlement, picking up tips as he went, setting down data that might or might not be a part of his formal written sources, trying new substances or treatments, and then after a while moving to another locale. In his approaches to gathering information, Alexander reminds one immediately of Dioscorides of Anazarbus (Fig. 5), testing the recommendations of the classical medical authorities on actual patients and making extensive notes on what worked and what did not prove useful among surgical techniques, drugs, and therapeutic regimens. As a doctor, he spent much time especially in Spain, Gaul, Corsica, Thrace, and Italy, and in his extant writings indicate his wide experience, particularly in pharmaceutical lore. One has a vivid impression, when reading through the treatises of Alexander of Tralles, of an active, inquisitive, and kindly physician, traveling from town to town, from...
province to province, residing for a time in one place dispensing drugs and performing simple and complex surgical operations (Figs. 6–9). It is likely too that Alexander had several apprentices who accompanied the master physician, until both student and instructor judged that sufficient skill and expertise had been attained by the younger man. Such seems to have been the system of medical training in the Roman and Byzantine epochs.

Alexander of Tralles became a physician of enormous experience, respected in his practice and (if Agathias is to be trusted) of widely known eminence. He was a doctor who knew his Greek-Roman medical classics, but who listened to country dwellers and sophisticated city-slickers alike to gain new information on treatments for troublesome diseases. Illustrative is an example drawn from his account of the treatment for the Falling Sickness (epilepsy; Twelve Books i.5). Alexander records what he has learned from a layman in Corcyra, someone named Mardius of Thrace, then sandwiches such local and folk-medical sources together with quotations on the use of amulets from the authoritative works of Archigenes (I. ἀ. 98–117) and Democritus (B. ca. ἀ. 30). The use of these two written sources in conjunction with Corcyran folklore is suggestive of how Alexander combined book-learning with personal experience, cross-checking what the texts recommended with what he saw and heard, much in the manner of Dioscorides.

Puschmann and Brunet both note that Alexander believed in the efficacy of amulets and charms, and even now physicians debate the placebo effect in cures. Puschmann's approach to this old problem is probably the most practical: as one of the finest of the early Byzantine practitioners, Alexander certainly was aware that a patient's belief (however defined) quite frequently contributed to improvement, if not to a cure. Alexander's works reveal a consummate skill in the combinations of pharmaco-logy, the application of diagnostics and surgery, and—what most important—of medical gifts—the innate understanding of what the patient needs and how (sometimes under trying circumstances) to ensure proper treatment in the face of a patient's reluctance. This is, of course, quite in line with Alexander's acceptance of magical incantations, amulets, and magico-medical charms as useful when appropriate.

One curious sidelight is important, since it aids our understanding of how Alexander of Tralles knew and described Far Eastern drugs, some of which were known to Dioscorides, but some of which (e.g., cloves) were new in Byzantine pharmacy. Alexander dedicates his compilation of medical experience to a "Cosmas" (Puschmann 1876–1879, vol. 1:289) and explains that Cosmas is the son of a man who—along with his father, Stephen—was fundamental in forming his character and outlook on life. One can, therefore, conjecture that Cosmas' father and Cosmas himself acted as tutors and learned friends of the family in roles left undefined by Alexander. We know of a Cosmas Indicopleustes, the famous "Navigator of the Indian Seas," who left us a curious travelogue of Sri Lanka (Ceylon), Arabia, and India, reflecting an active Byzantine commerce with the Indian subcontinent in the 6th century. If he is the "Cosmas" of Alexander's dedication, the connection would explain Alexander's solid knowledge of Far Eastern pharmaceuticals.

Alexander certainly was aware that a patient's belief . . . frequently contributed to improvement, if not to a cure.

THE TWELVE BOOKS

The Twelve Books form the bulk of Alexander's extant works and probably represent his last writings before he died in Rome in 685. Alexander writes in his dedication that this, his great work on medical practice, was set down in old age, after he could no longer bear up under the fatiguing pace of an active practice. He explains that the compendium on medicine is written at the request of his friend, Cosmas, and that the full text is intended to be useful in describing, in the clearest way possible, the best forms of medicine and medical therapeutics (Puschmann 1876–1879, vol. 1:288). By the same token, his justly famed Letter on Intestinal Worms is composed at the wish of another friend, an unknown Theodoros, so one must assume that Alexander had many friends, patients, and acquaintances who begged him over the years to set down his medical experiences. The manuscript traditions are rather muddled, so that one remains uncertain if Alexander's treatise on Fever is another response to Cosmas' entreaties, or whether the full Twelve Books on medicine honor that particular request.

Alexander's Twelve Books comprise a listing of various ailments and their treatments—with a very rich pharmacology of almost 600 drugs—beginning with aloe vera and hair-loss, nicely directed and suggestive of Alexander's foppish patients among the upper classes. Book I considers "diseases of the head" and includes...
Illustrations of Early Bone-setting Procedures

Hippocrates' works—Wounds of the Head, Fractures, and Joints—are among the few surgical texts in Greco-Roman and Byzantine medicine. Alexander of Tralles is more likely to have learned bone setting through an apprenticeship, perhaps even to his own physician-father, Stephen.

Apollonius of Citium was active ca. 50 BC as a physician at one of the Ptolemaic courts (the one on Cyprus is suggested by his name and title). We know almost nothing about him, except that his *Commentary on the Hippocratic Joints* is the only one to survive intact. The text we have was recopied sometime in the 9th or 10th century AD, and the illuminations that accompany it (Figs. 6–9) were painted at the same time (Kolosch and Kudlien 1965). Alexander himself apparently intended to write a book on fractures and wounds in the manner of Hippocrates.
Alexander had mastered a phenomenal variety of drugs, both in their “simple” forms and in the compound formulas almost always typical of his treatments for diseases.

Almost all of the 600-old substances included in the great Mediaeval Materia Medica of Dioscorides are here, but with a different twist. Instead of merely copying out the old recipes from a written text, Alexander often changes ingredients, frequently rearranging substances, so as to change his recipes to be compared to similar ones in Galen, Oribasius, and others. Unlike many of our ancient and medieval medical texts, there is rather less reverence for a traditional source simply because it chances to be an authoritative medical text.

Alexander does not particularly focus any of his works on drugs, but pharmaceutical therapy is extremely prominent throughout each subdivision. Listings of drug follow descriptions of ailments in almost all special sections, including fevers, headaches, what modern readers might call “nervous diseases” (e.g., melancholia), ophthalmology, the noteworthy Letter on Inestinal Ulcers, lung diseases, goit, and so on. A number of the drug recipes seem to be original, although the specific ingredients they contain have always been used and defined in earlier Greek, Roman, and Byzantine pharmacology.

An illustration of how late ancient and early Byzantine medicine was not utterly static is nicely instantiated by the new names provided to given ingredients by Alexander. Consider, for example, the “Armenian Stone,” part of a recipe in Trattato in Fever 7 (Quattaro) (Puschmann 1878–1879, vol. 1: 421–31). Earlier Greek texts, including the Papyrus Graecus Medicus (12.201), had noted of the usefulness of the stone chalcedon (verdigris, or copper oxide, approximately [C10H8O4]2+, Cu(OH)2, OH, but here Alexander’s “Armenian Stone” had taken the place of the chalcedon. This new substance had been redefined by Dioscorides’ Materia Medica (3.90), and if we understand the geological chemistry of the “Armenian Stone,” this is now a combination of copper oxide and iron (approximately Fe2O3, CuO, Cu(OH)2, and malachite (approx. CuCO3·Cu(OH)2). Roman and Byzantine pharmacy essentially substituted one kind of copper oxide for another, and Alexander’s “Armenian Stone” occupies the same niche in the same recipe that was employed by verdigris in earlier prescriptions.

Moreover, Alexander’s recipes give precise dosages of the ingredients, thus easily enabling the commonly repeated statement in general textbooks on early medicine that weights and measures were unimportant in pharmacy and medicine before the Renaissance. The remainder of Alexander’s “On the Stone” shows how his new pharmacy consisted of rearrangements of ingredients according to his own experience in pharmaceutical therapies.

The stone called “the Armenian” administered washed or unwashed in a dosage of 4 lekta (ca. 800 mg/12 grains) works well for all forms of quartan fever, since it acts as no other drug for the evacuation of black bile. Washed in water, it completely purges the lower bowels, but unwashed as it is an emetic that does not cause too much beating, unlike the others. But if some [of your patients] regard the solution of “the stone” with distaste, make little pills employing the following ingredients:

4 grammata of pēkros [a mixture of aloes and honey]
3 grammata of Ephippion [the lesser dodder, Convolvus Ephippium (L.) Matt.] 1 grammata of aegyptios [probably a tree mushroom of the Rutaceae sp.]
1 grammata of Artemisia bistu
5 "berries" of karpoppyllē [cloves, Eugenia caryophyllata L., here the sun-dried, unopened flower-buds]
5 grammata of skamnia [cannmory, Convolvulus scamnium L.]

Mix with juice of kātrino [citron, Citrus medica L.], or with kvromelos [a jam or jelly made from quince, Cydonia oblonga L.] and saffron [Crocos sativus L.] or with rhuddmelos [a jam made from roses, generally Ras gallica L., and quince], or with rhuddmelos [a rose-colored mixture, the dosage is 2 grammata.]

[1 grammata = 1.2 grams = 1 scruple = 20 grains]

(Puschmann 1878–1879, vol. 1: 419–41), trans. from Greek by author)

It is worth noting that an alchemical papyrus from Lleida, Spain, also records the combination of water with verdigris, so Alexander has recommended what was a common practice of washing the green rust that is copper oxycate. The other substances in the recipe appear in early Graeco-Roman medical and pharmacological texts, with the exception of the clove (Pliny mentions it in his Natural History [11:9]), but they are rare and quite exotic. By the Byzantine period, clove trees were not uncommon (at least to the learned physicians). Their inclusion in this and other recipes may lend credence to Alexander’s supposed association with Cosmas Indicopleustes, or at least may reflect a special connection with the spice trade from the Far East in the 6th century.

The text of Alexander’s Trattato in Fever 7 as a whole is a careful compendium of drug set within the context of a then-ancient humoral pathology. The recipe quoted above is rather typical in the works of Alexander, who includes nearly 500 of them throughout
the various writings we have by him. Alexander's pharmacy indicates that Byzantine medicine as a whole was neither static nor repetitive: it illustrates a continual effort by the best of the early Byzantine physicians to use a traditional pharmacopoeia in fresh arrangements, while retaining the overarching theoretical context of Galenic humoral pathology. Alexander's surgical techniques were also quite successful, as one learns of various treatments for bone setting, dislocations, and the like. It is quite surprising that a full-fledged study of this magnificent physician has not yet been produced by modern medical historians.
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