Any reconstruction of the Late Bronze and Iron Age settlement patterns in the Greater Amman area is fraught with difficulties and hence very hypothetical. The data base primarily consists of a small number of published tomb groups and single period sites (of debatable contemporaneity with better dated assemblages in neighbouring countries), stratified sequences (with occupational gaps and few absolute dating markers), and surveys (often done non-systematically).

The limited data base directly affects the temporal and geographical frameworks of this study. The Late Bronze and Iron Ages broadly date to c. 1550-400 B.C. A relative sequence of well-attested archaeological horizons is generally agreed upon, but, without better chronological controls, absolute dating is very approximate, viz., LB I (c. 1550-1400 B.C.), LB II (c. 1400-1200 B.C.), Iron IA (c. 1200-1050 B.C.), and Iron IIC/Persian (c. 650-400 B.C.). Iron IB-C and IIA-B are especially problematic for the Amman area, although well attested elsewhere in the country (e.g., the Jordan Valley and Edom). Some periods are already quite long and eventually will probably be divided into shorter phases. Yet, in at least one instance, viz. Iron IIC/Persian, further extension, down into the Hellenistic period, is not unlikely.

Massively constructed Late Bronze and Iron Age buildings, unique to the Amman area and distributed over an approximately 20km radius from the Citadel, provide a regional framework, at least to a first approximation. This area includes Sahab, the Baq'ah Valley, and Tell al-'Umeiri, which today are outside the Greater Amman governorate (Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan 1987: FIG. 4.4).

Late Bronze Age Settlement Pattern
Tomb groups account for most of the published Late Bronze Age evidence, including the Citadel (Harding and Isserlin 1953; Ward 1966; Piccirillo 1978), Jabal an-Nuzha (Dajani 1966b), Sahab (Dajani 1970), and the Baq'ah Valley (McGovern 1986). These tombs contained multiple burials, and probably span several generations. Based on typological parallels with better dated contexts west of the Jordan River, LB I and late LB II materials appear to be best represented, with possible extensions of these periods back into the Middle Bronze Age and down into the Early Iron Age, respectively.

Late Bronze Age architectural remains and associated artifacts have been excavated at the Amman Airport in Marka (Hennessy 1966, 1970; Ward 1964; Hankey 1974; Herr 1983), Sahab (Ibrahim 1974; 1975b), and in the Baq'ah Valley (McGovern 1986: 61-63; 1989). Nine Late Bronze Age sites were documented in the northeastern Hesan/Madaba Plains surveys, including Tell al-'Umeiri West, Umm as-Sarab, Jalal and Iktanu (Ibach 1981; Geraty et al. 1986: 125-126). Transitional MB/LB and LB/early Iron sherds were associated with Rujm al-Ḥenu East in the middle of the valley (McGovern 1980; 1983; 1986: 11-13). Fragments of 'Ajjul Bichrome and Egyptian-style blue-painted wares (Dornemann 1983: 22) and a unique jug (Bennett and Northedge 1977-78: 178) were also found on the Citadel in poorly stratified contexts.

Although extremely limited, the combined published LB evidence from excavation and survey cannot be explained as primarily due to a "nomadic" or pastoralist population in the area. Large burial deposits, in which males and females of all ages had been buried together with hundreds of whole pottery vessels and other artifacts, were common throughout Late Bronze Age Palestine. The similarities of the Amman burial assemblages with those of tomb groups (e.g., Lachish, Gezer, Megiddo, Pella) found along the coast and in the main inland valleys are especially noteworthy, since the latter were definitely associated with well-established sedentary communities.

The architectural evidence accords with a sedentary way of life. Sahab (Ibrahim 1974, 1975b) and Tell Safut (Wimmer 1987) had exterior fortification walls. The Quadratbau architectural layouts of the Amman Airport Building and structures in the Baq'ah Valley (Khirbet Umm ad-Dananir and Rujm al-Ḥenu East) conform to a courtyard type that had a long history in Palestine (Yassine 1983). The bone (human and animal, burnt and unburnt)
and artifactual remains (e.g., miniature and zoomorphic vessels, imported pottery) from the Amman Airport Building and the Khirbet Umm ad-Dananir structure argue strongly for their use in the cult (McGovern 1989). The buildings might have been located some distance from the main areas of occupation — possibly under the terminal at the Amman Airport and on a higher terrace at Khirbet Umm ad-Dananir, as were the Mount Gerizim Quaderratbau structures near Nablus (Boling 1975).

As a working hypothesis, it may be proposed that small sedentary Late Bronze Age communities were distributed throughout the Greater Amman area and centered around a larger city-state on the Citadel. These communities were probably also integrated economically and socially to some degree. The large sectors of the area lacking Late Bronze Age remains are most likely the result of non-systematic surveying, deeply buried deposits, and/or misdating of the pottery; where intensive surveying has been carried out, a low density of small sedentary communities, close to springs and in the vicinity of arable land, has been noted.

Iron Age Settlement Pattern
The primary evidence for Iron Age settlement in the Greater Amman area is also derived from tomb groups. For Iron IA, burial caves at Saḥab (Dajani 1970; Ibrahim 1972; 1975a) and in the Baq‘ah Valley (McGovern 1986) have been published. Numerous Iron IIC/Persian tombs can be cited: the Citadel (Harding 1951; Harding and Tufnell 1953), Jabal aj-Jofeh (Harding 1945; Dajani 1966a), Jabal ‘Amman (Harding 1951), Sahab (Harding 1948; Ibrahim 1972), Meqablein (Harding 1950), Khilda (Yassine 1988: 11-31), Umm Udheina (Hadad 1984), and Abu Nuṣēir in the Baq‘ah Valley (Abu Ghanimeh 1984).


Some of these buildings are quite comparable to the LB Quaderratbau type (above): Qasr at-Tabaqqa (Gese 1958: FIG. 3), Jabal al-Akhḍar (Khoury 1988: 26, with plan), and al-Mabrak (Yassine 1983). Others have casemate rooms surrounding a large open courtyard — Khilda (Yassine 1988: 15, FIG. 2) and Rujm al-Ḥenū West (McGovern 1983: FIG. 3). Somewhat irregular layouts have also been noted (e.g., Hentschke 1960: FIGS. 8, 9, 11), and completely isolated towers occur (e.g., Glueck 1939: 159). More rarely, the architectural types are combined together to form extensive complexes, e.g., Khirbet Muḍmar in the center of the Baq‘ah Valley (McGovern 1983: PL. 19.2). They are often built upon exposed outcrops of bedrock, close to cultivable land.

Early explorers were understandably intrigued by these “megalithic” buildings, and dated them to the “prehistoric” period (Mackenzie 1911: 39; Watzinger 1933: 22-24) or Roman times (Conder 1889: 193). Recent test soundings suggest that the majority of these buildings belong to the Iron IIC/Persian period. Excavations at Khirbet al-Hajjar (below), Rujm al-Malfut South (Thompson 1973), Rujm al-Mekheizin (Thompson 1984), and Rujm al-Ḥenū West (McGovern 1983) support this dating. The preponderance of Iron IIC/Persian pottery sherds near many other structures implies that they also date to this period. Both Iron I and IIC/Persian surface sherds were found at Khirbet al-Hajjar in the western Greater Amman area. Excavation (Thompson 1972; 1977) revealed three phases of Iron I occupation, in association with three undefined walls. A gap of several hundred years followed before the circular tower was constructed in the Iron IIC/Persian period (seventh-sixth centuries B.C., according to the excavator).

Many other such structures probably belong to some phase of the Iron Age (see Glueck 1937: 19; 1939: 157, 165-166; the western series of buildings investigated by the German Institute of Archaeology; Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan 1987: 4.62-72; Muheisen 1976), but test soundings have sometimes shed doubt on survey dating (cf. Boraas 1971 with Glueck 1939: 165-166).

Other survey evidence is better controlled. The northeastern Ḥesban/Madaba Plains survey, overlapping partly with the area covered by the German investigators, was very intensive and systematic. Consequently, more sites were located, and greater confidence can be placed in the pottery dating. Although the data is yet to be fully synthesized and published (see Ibach 1978a; 1978b; Geraty et al. 1986; LaBianca 1990), qasr and rujm malfut type buildings predominated in Iron I and II, especially the latter period. Because of their proximity to arable land and associated installations (e.g., presses for olives and grapes and millstones for grading), these structures are interpreted as “farmsteads” (Geraty et al. 1986: 123-124; LaBianca 1990).

The survey evidence from the Baq‘ah Valley fits a similar pattern as that from the Ḥesban/Madaba Plains survey. The numerous qasr and rujm malfut type structures throughout the valley date primarily to Iron IIC/Persian, although some earlier Iron II and Iron I sherds were also recovered (McGovern 1986: TABLE 1). Buildings were located close to arable land but not on it. The environmental setting of a large complex of buildings at Khirbet Muḍmar in the center of the valley, which were built on bedrock shelves in midst of fertile fields, points to it being a large farming community. Where soundings have been
carried out (e.g., Rujm al-Henu West — McGovern 1983), agricultural activities have been well substantiated. Some of the buildings might also have played a defensive role, although the standard watchtower hypothesis (Conder 1899: 193; Mackenzie 1911: 25-26; Gese 1958: 57; Landes 1964: 72-74; Glueck 1939: 163, 165-167; 1970: 183; Sasson 1979), in which the primary function of the buildings was to protect approaches to Amman, will probably require substantial modification.

Saḥab provides the best excavated example of continuous sedentary occupation from the Late Bronze into the Iron Age (Ibrahim 1974; 1975a; 1975b). The Iron IA remains are particularly extensive, including houses and storage caves. A gap in occupation followed the destruction of the Iron IA town, after which a smaller but more carefully planned settlement with a complex of pillared and rectangular rooms was built in the Iron IIC/Persian period. The same periods emerge as the most important at Khirbet Umm ad-Dananir (McGovern 1989). Iron IIC/Persian architectural and artifactual remains are well represented at the Amman Citadel (Zayadine 1973: 28-35) and Tell al-'Umeiri (Geraty et al. 1986). The fortification walls excavated at the Citadel by Dornemann (1983: 89-103) appear to belong to the tenth-ninth centuries B.C.

Comparable to the LB II settlement pattern, the available Iron Age evidence is best accounted for by a network of small, dispersed villages throughout the Greater Amman area, centered on the Citadel. The apparent gap or diminished occupation of the area in later Iron I and the early phases of Iron II may be due to the poorly established pottery chronology and/or limited surveying and excavation. The immediately succeeding period, Iron IIC/Persian, however, is one of the most intensively occupied episodes in Amman's history. Although irrefutable excavation evidence is lacking, the placement of sites near arable land and the increased subsistence requirements of what is evidently a much larger population (based on the number and size of buildings) indicate that agriculture was crucial to the economic prosperity of the period. Greater specialization, most often associated with more complex, hierarchical societies, is attested by the extremely well-made, wheel-thrown pottery and artistic productivity, including unique sculpture (Dornemann 1983: 153-164).

Broader Implications and Future Prospects

Up until the Iron IIC/Persian period, the number and sizes of settlements appear to be relatively constant throughout the area. Late Bronze Age remains are better attested than those of Iron I and/or Iron IIA-B in certain sectors of the area, especially when intensive survey has been followed up by excavation. Some Late Bronze Age sites were newly founded, such as Khirbet Umm ad-Dananir, Rujm al-Henu East, and the Amman Airport Building, and other sites (the Citadel and Saḥab), which were occupied in both periods, show no signs of destruction between the Middle and the Late Bronze Age. The implication is that sedentary occupation increased in the area during the Late Bronze Age and that transition from the Late Bronze to the Iron Age in the Greater Amman area was not marked by major military upheaval. Similar findings have been reported for the Jordanian plateau north of Wadi az-Zarqa and for Moab (Boling 1988: 13-18). In other sectors of the Greater Amman area, however, a decrease in Late Bronze Age sites, which moreover are in different locations than the Middle Bronze Age sites, suggests a divergent settlement pattern development (Boling 1988: 30-35). Whether or not the more general phenomenon of an increased number of Late Bronze Age settlements in Transjordan is inversely correlated with a decrease and a reduction in the size of sites west of the Jordan River (Gonen 1984) cannot be assessed until more intensive survey and excavation has been carried out in Transjordan.

The unique architecture of the Amman area, including courtyard type buildings constructed of massive boulders, has a long history, extending from at least the Late Bronze Age to Roman times. Where intensive surveys and excavations have been carried out in the study area, however, the Iron IIC/Persian period clearly stands out as a “building boom”. Whether single buildings or huge complexes, the structures are most often sited on bedrock outcrops close to arable land. The most plausible interpretation of the settlement pattern is that the buildings are primarily farming communities and/or associated agricultural installations. This hypothesis does not rule out their exclusive or concomitant use for defensive, political, religious, or other economic purposes. As more exact dating of these structures is established by excavation, it may be possible to reconstruct a gradual intensification process beginning in the early Iron Age and reaching a climax in Iron IIC/Persian (LaBianca 1990). Alternatively, some combination of political factors (alliances of Ammon with Assyria and Babylonia; movements of peoples from west of the Jordan River onto the plateau after the Babylonian devastation of Jerusalem and Judea) may explain the extraordinary build-up in Iron IIC/Persian.

Sadly, it may already be too late to recover an adequate data base for reconstructing settlement patterns in the Amman area. Thirty years ago, many ancient structures dotted the landscape; perhaps half that many remain today, as detailed in the comprehensive development plan of the Greater Amman Council (Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan 1987: 4.62-72). Intensive surveys in sectors as yet relatively unaffected by modern development may yield an archaeological/environmental sequence which has broader application to the area as a whole. Selected excavation of a range of sites of different sizes and types throughout the Amman area is particularly essential to the development of regional models.
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