Were the Sea Peoples at Beth Shan?
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The Sea Peoples' presence in northern Palestine during the transition from the Late Bronze to the early Iron Age is a particularly appropriate dissideratum for this Festschrift, as John Strange set the stage for it in his dissertation on Late Bronze Age Caphtor/Kettia and dealt with it directly in a recent article. Recent excavations at Tell el-Fukhar in northern Jordan, which were co-directed by John and are presently being prepared for publication, have revealed transitional Late Bronze/early Iron fortifications, domestic and probable palatial installations, and a single Philistine krater rim sherd from the gate area. Intriguingly, an early Iron Age stratum (Lower Level V, ca. 1000-900 B.C.) at Beth Shan, which is approximately 45 km west of Tell el-Fukhar and on approximately the same latitude, also yielded a single Philistine sherd.

Beth Shan during the 13th Century B.C.

The final publication of the 13th century B.C. levels at Beth Shan makes it abundantly evident how intense the Egyptian presence at the site was. The Egyptians wholly transformed the site into a military base by dismantling and leveling the earlier Level IX (ca. 1550-1300 B.C.) and constructing typical Egyptian New Kingdom buildings — a residential sector of courtyard houses laid out along a grid pattern of streets, a temple, and the so-called migdol (“fortress”) and “commandant’s house.” Matching the architectural changes, the ratio of Egyptian pottery and object types (including duck-headed bowls, “flower pots” and “beer bottles” thought to have been used in bread and beer rituals, cobra figurines, zoomorphic stands, chariot fittings, jewelry pendants, glass and faience vessels, scarabs of 19th Dynasty pharaohs, etc., etc.) to Palestinian types (1:4) is the highest that has ever been recorded at a Palestinian site. At least two monumental stelae of the 19th Dynasty pharaoh Sety I and one of Ramesses II, which had been secondarily set up in a courtyard of the Northern Temple in Lower Level V, detail Egyptian military activity in the area, and can be correlated chronologically with Level VIII (Sety I, 1294-1279 B.C.) and Level VII (Ramesses II, 1279-1213 B.C.).

In a basalt stela of Year 1 of Sety I, evidently cut from local stone by an Egyptian stonemason resident at the site, this pharaoh claims to have successfully defended the garrison against the belligerent city-states of Pella and Hamath, located several kilometers to the south. Preparations for a major Palestinian campaign had already begun during the coregency of Sety I with his father, Ramesses I, by the building and strengthening of fortresses along the Sinai portion of “The Ways of Horus,” many of which were named after Sety I. Once complete power had devolved to such an energetic pharaoh, a concerted effort to bring Palestine under Egyptian control by moving military, administrative, and craft personnel into the region in large numbers and setting up permanent bases, on the model of Egyptian occupation in Nubia, might be anticipated. The historical context of an undated and incomplete second basalt stela of Sety I, detailing the defeat of the ‘apiru of Mount Yarumti and the Tayaru, probably occurred during another Asiatic campaign. The campaigning of Sety I in southern Syria and northern Palestine is also attested by stelae found at Tell Nebi Mend, ancient Kadesh, and Tell esh-Shihab, east of the Sea of Galilee.

Sety I’s son and successor, Ramesses II, completed the building of the Egyptian garrison at Beth Shan and consolidated Egyptian power in the region. In his Year 18 basalt stela, Ramesses II claims to have crushed the ‘apanu (“Asiatics”), as the Egyptian and Hittite accounts of the Battle of Kadesh make clear and as attested by a stela found at Sheik Sa'id, east of the Sea of Galilee.

The combined artifactual and contemporaneous inscripational evidence from Beth Shan leave little doubt that the Egyptians restructured the site to be a military garrison along the northeastern frontier of Palestine, from which they could protect their interests in the area. Before the site was excavated, this result could hardly have been anticipated, since Beth Shan is located far inland, more than 400 kms from the border of Egypt and even quite distant from the main Egyptian bases in the southwestern coastal region of the country. Yet, the site is strategically located at the eastern terminus of the main east-west trade route through the Palestinian Hill Country; here, where the Jezreel and Jordan Valleys intersect, routes to southern Syria and Jordan branched off after a shallow ford over the Jordan River was crossed. Trade, however, does not appear to have been the main goal of the Egyptians, since relatively few Syrian or North Mesopotamian artifacts were recovered. A less tangible reason for the Egyptian choice of Beth Shan as a base of operations might be that the site most nearly duplicated the conditions of an Egyptian town, with its hot climate and proximity to a major river, into which a
network of waterways flowed and were periodically flooded.

The Transition to the Iron Age

The transition from the Late Bronze to the early Iron Age at Beth Shan was not marked by a destruction level or a markedly different archaeological assemblage. Beginning with the erection of an Egyptian military garrison in Level VIII, the architectural layout of the site remained basically unchanged through as many as six phases, from Level VIII down to the construction of Lower Level V, i.e., from ca. 1300 to 1000 B.C. The phases constituted no more than minor alteration and refurbishments, such as would be expected from time to time.

Scarabs, numerous inscribed limestone door fragments from Egyptian center hall buildings, and a basalt statue of Ramesses III (1184-1153 B.C.) indicate that a resurgence of Egyptian military activity probably occurred during this pharaoh's reign, following some serious threats to Egyptian control of "The Ways of Horus" at the end of the 19th and the beginning of the 20th Dynasty along the coast. Particularly noteworthy is a lintel, which shows Ramessesuserkhepes, referred to as the "Commander of the Troops of the Lord of the Two Lands" on another door jamb, worshipping the cartouches and Horus-name of Ramesses III. Following the reign of Ramesses III, however, economic and social problems at home conspired to weaken Egypt's hold on Palestine, and no Egyptian royal objects are found there between the reign of Ramesses VI and Shishak of the 22nd Dynasty. The power vacuum was apparently filled by various people, such as the Israelites, Moabites, and Edomites, which are mentioned for the first time in Egyptian late New Kingdom texts, together with Sea Peoples (e.g., the Peleset [Philistines] along the southwestern coast, and the Tjekker farther north according to the Tale of Wen-Aman). Even with the withdrawal of Egyptian forces, the local inhabitants of Beth Shan must have maintained the spirit, if not necessarily the substance, of Egyptian tradition, since the monuments of Sety I, Ramesses II, and Ramesses III were protected and prominently displayed at least down to the time of Shishak.

Archaeological and Chemical Evidence for Sea Peoples at Beth Shan

If artifactual and architectural styles are any indication, cultural influences other than those of the local Palestinians and Egyptians are very rare in the 13th and 12th century B.C. levels at Beth Shan. Northern contacts, as already mentioned, were negligible. A relatively large amount of Mycenaean and Cypriot pottery was imported, probably overland through the Jezreel Valley, and is most plausibly interpreted as being the result of trade.

The only artifacts from Levels VII and VIII on the tell, which are directly relevant to the question of whether Sea Peoples were in contact with or living at Beth Shan in the 13th century B.C., are (1) an anthropomorphic mask, an appliqued head on a tall cylindrical stand, and a probable figurine head, all with vertically fluted hair or headaddresses. Similarly, some of the "grotesque" anthropoid coffin lids (see Fig. A) from Tombs 60, 66 and 90 in the Northern Cemetery of Beth Shan have faces with very exaggerated features and which are sometimes surmounted by vertical flutings or triangular depressions. Horizontal lines of small circular knobs and plain bands are present on the foreheads of the coffin faces, but lacking on the Level VII/VIII artifacts. Some other minor differences may be noted: the figurine and appliqued head are bearded, and the low fluted molding of the mask has more the appearance of a coiffure than a headdress.

The significance of these artifacts for the question of whether Sea Peoples were at Beth Shan is that the Sea Peoples represented in the Medinet Habu reliefs of Ramesses III are shown wearing feathered headdresses with plain bands, zigzag bands, and/or lines of circular projections on their foreheads. The "grotesque" coffins at Beth Shan, making allowances for stylization in clay, have been argued to represent similar headdresses. The implication is that the Egyptians assigned Sea People mercenaries to the Beth Shan garrison, as they did at other sites in the southwestern coastal area, following the defeat of the Peleset and their allies, and that some of these people, in particular the Tjekker and Denyen, were buried in the coffins of the Northern Cemetery at Beth Shan. Other artifacts (tall cylindrical stands, kernos, "beer strainers," a double pilgrim-flask, bar handles, etc.), which were recovered from Levels VII and VIII and are related to Aegean prototypes and later Philistine examples, might be cited as confirmatory evidence. On the other hand, the fluted hair/ headdresses might be interpreted as belonging to the Syro-Palestinian tradition of fluted conical and cylindrical headgear, and the artifactual styles could be explained as mostly local imitations of imported prototypes rather than the actual presence of a new people.

One of the strongest arguments in support of Sea Peoples having been buried in the Northern Cemetery is that similar "grotesque" coffins have been found at a site along the southwestern coast, Deir el-Balah, in the region that was eventually incorporated into the Philistine pentapolis. There, however, "naturalistic" coffins of standard New Kingdom Egyptian type, predominate,
as they do at Beth Shan\textsuperscript{39} (Fig. B). One of the coffin lid faces was even discovered inside a kiln at Deir el-Balah, confirming that it and other coffins were locally manufactured. Based on the coffins and the associated burial goods, T. Dothan proposed that Sea Peoples formed the majority of the population and had adopted Egyptian burial customs.

Burial customs as a rule are not prone to change, and the hypothesis that Sea Peoples were buried in the Deir el-Balah and Beth Shan coffins would be doubtful \textit{a priori}, except for the "grotesque" coffin lid faces with headresses resembling those on the Medinet Habu reliefs. Moreover, the very fact that coffins were used for burial points to strong Egyptian influence, which is borne out by the finds from both sites. Therefore, one cannot rule out the possibility that Egyptians themselves were buried in the Northern Cemetery and Deir el-Balah tombs, even though the greatest desire of any Egyptian was to be buried in his/her own land.

Some associated burial goods in the Northern Cemetery anthropoid coffin burials — \textit{shawabtis}\textsuperscript{34}, miniature and regular-sized carinated cups\textsuperscript{42}, a jar\textsuperscript{43} and Egyptian alabaster vase\textsuperscript{44}, splayed-rim bowls\textsuperscript{45}, a bell-shaped bowl\textsuperscript{46}, etc. — are of Egyptian type. Preliminary Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) data, which were statistically evaluated by Mahalanobis distance probability calculations using a Levantine-Egyptian data bank of 2700 Bronze-Iron Age clay and pottery samples\textsuperscript{47}, confirmed that two of the carinated cups, noted above, are definitely imports made of Nile alluvial clay. The one \textit{shawabit}\textsuperscript{48} that was tested was of uncertain provenience. However, these small figurines, which carry out work for the deceased in the afterlife, were a standard accompaniment of any legitimate Egyptian burial, and those from Beth Shan are, to this writer’s knowledge, the only published examples that have ever been found in Palestine. Since analyses of Egyptian-style artifacts from the the 13th century B.C. levels on the tell have shown them to be made exclusively of local clay and produced at Beth Shan\textsuperscript{49} (as were the Egyptian-style jar and bell-shaped bowl, noted above), the NAA finding that minimally the carinated cups were imported from Egypt has important implications for who was buried in the anthropoid coffins at Beth Shan.

There are also clear indications of local Palestinian cultural influence in the tomb groups of the Northern Cemetery. The numerous lamps\textsuperscript{50} are exclusively of Palestinian type, as are the pilgrim flasks, most of the juglets and bowls, a krater, pyxis, storage jar, and probably a "beer strainer"\textsuperscript{41} and one-handled flask\textsuperscript{42}. The long-necked juglet\textsuperscript{43} is most common farther south in the Jordan Valley and on the central Transjordanian plateau\textsuperscript{44}. NAA confirmed that one lamp was locally made, and that one long-necked juglet was of uncertain provenience.

So-called "imitation" Mycenaean sturrup jars\textsuperscript{55}, which have long been thought to be local products that were first produced in this period to offset the decline in imported Greek vessels, are extremely common in the tombs. They are found together with what appear to be genuine Mycenaean\textsuperscript{46} and Cypriote\textsuperscript{47} types. It should be noted that only Mycenaean III B types are represented in the cemetery. However, Mycenaean III C pottery, which precedes the full blossoming of Philistine pottery on the coast, is attested in Level VI\textsuperscript{48}. NAA confirmed that two of the "imitation" sturrup jars were probably locally made\textsuperscript{34}; another two examples were of uncertain provenience. One probable sturrup jar import\textsuperscript{50} was shown to indeed come from central Mainland Greece. A Cypriote miniature bowl\textsuperscript{31}, on the other hand, was most likely produced in the southwestern coastal region, as was a long-necked and spouted "beer strainer."\textsuperscript{32}

Turning to a unique group of artifacts of possible Aegean/Sea People inspiration, two rather clumsily handmade figurines\textsuperscript{35} (Fig. C, left and middle) are definitely females; one of these examples has hands holding breasts in a standard Syro-Palestinian figurine pose. The lobed coiffure of the latter is similar to that of the third example (Fig. C, right) which lack breasts, so possibly all three figurines are female. The NAA results showed them to be made of local Beth Shan clay.

Several "naturalistic" and "grotesque" coffin lid faces and bases\textsuperscript{42} were also tested by NAA. Of the five samples, three examples — a "naturalistic" coffin lid (Fig. B), a base from a "naturalistic" type, and a "grotesque" coffin lid (Fig. A, lower right), each from separate tombs — were probably exported from the southwestern coastal region of Palestine. One "grotesque" coffin lid face (Fig. A, upper left) was possibly locally made, but more statistical evaluation is needed to substantiate this preliminary assignment. Finally, a coffin base, showing well-molded feet, was of uncertain provenience.

\textbf{Conclusions}

While it is true that ethnic affiliation cannot be unequivocally established by determining the stylistic or chemical origin(s) of pottery artifacts, a group of distinctive artifacts from a single tomb or group of graves may have cumulative significance in establishing the cultural background of the buried individual(s). Chemical proveniencing, because it relies on unintentional contemporaneous evidence, is an especially sensitive indicator of origin.
Whereas pottery shapes and decorations were readily transferred between cultures and consciously imitated, Late Bronze and early Iron Age potters were generally limited to locally available clays and exercised little control over their chemical makeup. The slipperiness of stylistic arguments can be appreciated by considering the long-standing discussion of the collared-rim jar\(^3\), which has now been shown to be definitely not a marker of "Israelite" settlements, since its form and method of manufacture is tied to Late Bronze prototypes and since the type appears to have been made throughout Transjordan in regions outside of traditionally defined Israelite territory. It needs to be continually stressed that "Pots do not equal People."\(^5\)

Since burial customs are relatively conservative, the percentage of imported pottery vessels and other artifacts in a tomb, as established by NAA, provides some guidance in determining the ethnic background of the deceased. Of course, such imports could rather manifest artistic sensibilities, predilection for whatever the vessels contained, social class, or the time spent abroad of such individuals, especially in the cosmopolitan world of the Late Bronze Age. These qualifications aside, what is striking about the proveniences of the burial goods from the anthropoid coffin burials at Beth Shan is the prominence of material deriving from the southwestern coastal region, together with several Egyptian imports\(^6\). The coffins themselves apparently were made here, and transported, either by sea to Mount Carmel and overland through the Jezreel or by land the whole way. This would not have been easy, since the coffins weighed hundreds of pounds and are extremely friable (except for their kiln-fired lids).

The scenario of Sea Peoples having been settled as mercenaries in the southwestern coastal region of Palestine, which was later consolidated into the Philistine pentapolis, provides the most parsimonious explanation of the imported and Aegean-inspired pottery and other artifacts of 13th-12th century B.C. sites in this area, preeminently in burial and temple contexts at Deir el-Balah, Ashdod and Tel Qasile. Egyptians would not have preferred to be buried in Palestine, and local Palestinians had never shown any inclination to adopt Egyptian burial practices. The Sea Peoples thus are the most likely candidates for having been buried in anthropoid coffins in this area.

Beth Shan, as an outpost of Egyptian power during an unsettled period, would have needed military reinforcements, and settling some of the Sea Peoples as mercenaries at the site was one possible solution. These people would presumably have been in close contact with the southwestern coastal region, since they either came from there or had friends and relatives there.

Since making the coffins required some expertise, the most efficient way to obtain them might then have been to import them from the southwestern coast, perhaps even from Deir el-Balah.

The main problem in delimiting one ethnic group as the deceased in the Beth Shan coffins is the highly eclectic nature of the burials. However, by the time the Philistine culture, with its distinctive pottery, had emerged in the southwestern coastal region, it had already incorporated Egyptian and Palestinian elements into its stylistic repertoire and, more importantly, into its religion (Dagon, for example, being the Semitic name of the main male deity of the Philistines). The syncretistic process would have begun earlier, and the anthropoid burials at Beth Shan and Deir el-Balah very likely reflect this development.

We may end on the note of how these findings may relate to the Old Testament traditions about Beth Shan. Several biblical references (Joshua 17.11, Judges 1.27, 1 Samuel 31.12) indicate that the Israelites were unsuccessful at first in taking control of the site from the Canaanites, and that the site was in league with the Philistines as late as the reign of Saul, whose body, along with those of his sons, were hung from the walls of the town following the Battle of Gilboa. In I Chronicles 10.10, we read of the "Temple of Dagon," which Alan Rowe implausibly identified as the southern temple of Level V. Is it possible that these biblical traditions somehow retain the memory of an attack by the *āpiru at the time of Sety I or of Sea People mercenaries during the Late Bronze/early Iron transitional period, here anachronistically denoted as "Philistines?" "Biblical archaeology" could hardly ask for a more challenging set of problems, which on-going excavations at Beth Shan may eventually help to resolve.
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Figure captions

A. "Grotesque" coffin lid faces, Tomb 90, Northern Cemetery, Beth Shan, top left (University Museum no. 29-10-794); top right (Rockefeller Museum, Jerusalem); bottom left (University Museum, on exhibit), bottom right (University Museum no. 29-103-781, 782, and 788).

B. "Naturalistic" coffin, Tomb 202A, Northern Cemetery, Beth Shan (University Museum no. 29-103-789).

C. Unique figurines of possible Aegean inspiration, Tomb 241, Northern Cemetery, Beth Shan (University Museum nos. 31-50-108, 31-50-110, and 31-50-109), left to right respectively.
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